



**One Hundred Seventeenth Congress
Committee on Homeland Security
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515**

September 16, 2021
Revised: September 17, 2021

COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee on Homeland Security met, pursuant to notice, in open markup session, a quorum being present, on Tuesday, September 14, 2021, in 310 Cannon and via Cisco WebEx to consider legislative proposals to comply with the reconciliation directive included in section 2002 of the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2022, S. Con. Res.14.

The Committee considered the Committee Print and Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Chairman Thompson.

The Committee took the following actions:

A motion by Mr. Langevin to transmit the Committee Print, as amended, to the Committee on the Budget was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 19 Yeas to 14 Nays. **RC#29**. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Committee Print, providing for reconciliation pursuant to S. Con. Res. 14, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2022

The following amendments were offered:

An Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Thompson; was AGREED to by voice vote.

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Meijer; Mr. Langevin raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Meijer appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#8**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. McCaul; Mr. Langevin raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. McCaul appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 17 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#9**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Higgins; Mr. Langevin raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Higgins appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 17 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#10**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Bishop; Mr. Langevin raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Bishop appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 17 Yeas to 14 Nays. **RC#11**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Guest (02); Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Guest appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#12**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Gimenez; Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Gimenez appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 16 Nays. **RC#13**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Katko (01); Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Katko appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Mrs. Watson Coleman was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 16 Nays. **RC#14**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Norman; Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending

text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Norman appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Mrs. Watson Coleman was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 16 Nays. **RC#15**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Pfluger; Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Pfluger appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Mrs. Watson Coleman was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#16**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mrs. Miller-Meeks; Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mrs. Miller-Meeks appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Ms. Jackson Lee was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 19 Yeas to 16 Nays. **RC#17**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Guest (01); Mr. Payne raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it was outside the scope of the pending text; the Chair sustained the point of order; Mr. Guest appealed the ruling of the Chair, and a motion to table offered by Mrs. Watson Coleman was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 19 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#18**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Ms. Clarke (05); was AGREED TO by voice vote.

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Torres; was AGREED TO by voice vote.

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mrs. Cammack; Mrs. Watson Coleman raised a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it made the effectiveness of the pending legislation contingent on an unrelated event or determination; the Chair sustained the point of order; due to technical issues, Mr. Bishop appealed the ruling of the Chair on behalf of Mrs. Cammack, and a motion to table offered by Mrs. Watson Coleman was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#19**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Ms. Slotkin; was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 19 Yeas to 13 Nays. **RC#20**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Katko (02); was NOT AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 14 Yeas to 18 Nays. **RC#21**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Ms. Clarke (01); was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#22**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Garbarino; was NOT AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 15 Yeas to 18 Nays. **RC#23**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Ms. Jackson Lee; was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 18 Yeas to 15 Nays. **RC#24**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Van Drew; was NOT AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 16 Yeas to 17 Nays. **RC#25**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. LaTurner; was NOT AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 15 Yeas to 18 Nays. **RC#26**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mrs. Harshbarger; was NOT AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 15 Yeas to 18 Nays. **RC#27**

An Amendment to the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute offered by Mr. Clyde; was NOT AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 15 Yeas to 19 Nays. **RC#28**

A motion by Mr. Langevin to transmit the Committee Print, as amended, to the Committee on the Budget was AGREED TO by a roll call vote of 19 Yeas to 14 Nays. **RC#29.** A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Chairman, I experienced technical problems during Tuesday's vote series. I would have voted "aye" on rollcall no. 19, the motion to table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on the Cammack amendment.

Mr. CORREA. Mr. Chairman, I experienced technical problems during Tuesday's vote series. I would have voted "aye" on rollcall no. 10, the motion to table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on the Higgins (LA) amendment.

Ms. CLARKE of New York. Mr. Chairman, I experienced technical problems during Tuesday's vote series. I would have voted "aye" on rollcall no. 9, 22, and 24 and "no" on rollcall no. 23, 25, and 26.

Mr. SWALWELL. Mr. Chairman, during roll call votes 8–16 on September 14, 2021, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted AYE on roll call no. 8 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 9 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 10 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 11 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 12 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 13 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 14 (Motion to Table); AYE on roll call no. 15 (Motion to Table); and AYE on roll call no. 16 (Motion to Table).

Mr. KATKO. Mr. Chairman, I experienced technical problems during Tuesday's vote series. I would have voted "nay" on rollcall no. 9, the motion to table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on the McCaul amendment.

Mr. KATKO. Mr. Chairman, I experienced technical problems during Tuesday's vote series. I would have voted "nay" on rollcall no. 10, the motion to table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on the Higgins (LA) amendment.

Mr. MCCAUL. Mr. Chairman, I experienced technical problems during Tuesday's vote series. I would have voted "nay" on rollcall no. 29, the motion by Mr. Langevin to transmit the Committee Print, as amended, to the Committee on the Budget.

Without objection, staff is authorized to make technical and conforming changes to all measures to reflect the actions of the Committee; was NOT OBJECTED TO.

A unanimous consent request by Mr. Katko that, pursuant to Rule XI clause 2(l), Members may have two days in which to file any supplemental, minority, or additional views on the Committee Print; was NOT OBJECTED TO.

